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## **LUANAR-REC FORM 002: Protocol Assessment/Reviewer Form**

**Research proposals/protocols shall be assessed against the following elements:**

1. **Conformity with Relevant National Policies and Laws**

* For Instance: The National Requirements, Procedures, Guidelines, Regulations, National Research Agenda etc

**2.0 Scientific Validity of the Study**

**The reviewers will comment on:**

* + Study Rationale or Problem Statement.
  + Title, objectives, and design of the study if they are in tandem (The ground-breaking nature, ambition, and feasibility of the research project).
  + Suitability of proposed methods to address objectives.
  + Suitability of study population.
  + Sample size (calculation).
  + Sampling procedures.
  + Data Collection techniques in light of the objectives and design of the study.
  + Data analysis methods.
  + Ethical validity of the research
  + Privacy and Confidentiality issues (in light of the study design and its sensitivity) How will privacy and confidentiality be assured for participants?
  + The adequacy of the Informed Consent Form/Information Sheet (Does it include all the required elements?)
  + Have all risks, if any, been identified? How will they be managed or avoided altogether?
  + Benefit (direct or indirect) to participant/institution.
  + Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria of subjects/participants.
  + Foreseeable or unforeseeable adverse events if any and how they will be addressed.
  + Qualifications of the investigator (research team) in light of the proposed study.
  + Adequacy of the budget to conduct the study.

**PROPOSAL VETTING FORM**

**Name of Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**REC Reference Number for the Study: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Research proposals/protocols shall be assessed against the following elements:**

**1.0 Conformity with Relevant National Policies and Laws**

* E. g National Requirements, Procedures, Guidelines, Regulations, National Research Agenda etc

**2.0 Scientific Validity of the Study**

**Comment on:**

* Study Rationale or Problem Statement
* Title, objectives and design of the study if they are in tandem.
* Suitability of proposed methods to address objectives.
* Suitability of study population
* Sample size (calculation)
* Sampling procedures
* Data Collection techniques in light of the objectives and design of the study
* Data analysis methods

**3.0 Ethical Validity of the Study**

**Comment on:**

* Privacy and Confidentiality issues (in light of the study design and its sensitivity) How will privacy and confidentiality be assured for participants?
* The adequacy of the Informed Consent Form/Information Sheet (Does it include all the required elements?)
* Have all risks, if any, been identified? How will they be managed or avoided all together?
* Benefit (direct or indirect) to participant/institution
* Foreseeable or unforeseeable adverse events if any and how they will be addressed.
* Qualifications of the investigator (research team) in light of the proposed study
* Adequacy of the budget to conduct the study.

**4.0 Decision and Recommendation of the Reviewer**

**Decisions:** **(1)** Approved, **(2)** Conditional approval (minor changes for review at secretariat), **(3)** Deferral – major changes (resubmit for full committee review), **(4)** Not approved/Rejected

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Reviewer’s Signature Date**